

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/603,406 | 06/24/2003 | Pier Andrea Borea | PAT-0040-US-NP2 | 4184 | |
| | 57999 7590 11/29/2007 KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| 400 CROSSING BOULEVARD | | | GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V | | |
| BRIDGEWATI | ER, NJ 08807 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | · 1614 | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | • | 11/29/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/603,406 | BOREA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Shirley V. Gembeh | 1614 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 7,8,11-16,19 and 28-42 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7,8,11-16,19 and 28-42 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the bed drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/15/07. | 6) Other: | atent Application (F 10-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The finality of the action dated 8/24/07 have been withdrawn.

The response filed **11/15/07** presents remarks and arguments to the office action mailed **8/24/07**. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the rejection of claims in the

last office action has been considered.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be

persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are

hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or

newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant

application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/15/07 is

acknowledged and has been reviewed.

Status of Action

Claims 7-8, 11-16, 19 and 28-42 are examined. Claims 1-6, 18 and 20-27 are

cancelled and claims 33-42 are newly added. Claims 7 -8, 12, 19 and 28-32 are

currently amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1614

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7-8, 11-16, 19 and 28-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claim 7, recites the term "suppressing" MDR. Nowhere in the specification is there a mention of "suppressing".

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 revised with new claims

Applicant submits in reference to a communication submitted 10/3/06, relying on the unexpected result of the enhancement illustrated in the Tables 4-8.

In response, the data set forth is not convincing, if one looks at the data keenly, the concentration of paclitaxel is from 0.002-0.1 µg/ml and the concentration of the A3 antagonist is either 10 µg/ml almost a 100 fold increase as shown in Table 4 for example. Giving that both A3 antagonist and antineoplastic inhibits cancer, (known to one of ordinary skill in the art). See enclosed reference as cited to show that A3 inhibits cancer

Art Unit: 1614

(Fishman et al. European J. Cancer 36(2000) 1452-1458) See Abstract. Fisherman et al. teaches low dose of adenosine and other small molecules. It appears in the instant application that the concentration of the antagonist has replaced the amount of the other drugs such as paclitaxel. In order for synergism to be effective, one of ordinary skill in the art would like to know how each of the drugs individually affect the cancer, especially when it has been shown in the art that both are used for cancer treatment.

Based on the reasons given above, the rejection is maintained and repeated below inclusive of the newly added claims.

Claims 7-8, 11-16, 19 and 28-42 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,210,917 to Carson et al. in view of Jacobson et al. US Patent No. 6,066,642 and further in view of Baraldi et al., <u>Journal of Medicinal Chemistry.</u> Vol. 42 (1999) 4473-4478 (all of record) and Goodman and Gilman, <u>The pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics</u>.

Carson et al. teach an adenosine-5'- triphosphate depleting agent to treat cancers such as breast and colon cancer (see col.12 lines 53-55) that are multidrug resistant, MDR, (see Abstract) in combination with chemotherapeutic agents. See col. 2, lines 37-40 and with respect to vinca alkaloids, taxanes and antibiotics, see col. 1 lines 46-51. Carson et al. additionally explain that the depletion of AMP and ATP negatively affects P-glycoprotein activity, which is linked to MDR as required by instant

Art Unit: 1614

claims 7-8 and 12. The generality of claims 13-16, 28-31 and 34-33 and 39-42 is taught. One of ordinary skill in the art would use the different types of chemotherapeutic agents etc., based on the type of cancer. Theses are antineoplastic agents well known in the art and has been used with different drug combination to treat cancer.

Jacobson et al. teach the use of adenosine A3 receptor antagonists in the killing of cancer cells (in current claim 7; see col. 63 Example 31) wherein the A3 receptor antagonists are used alone or in combination with other active agents (see col. 16 lines 11-18).

Jacobson et al. do not specifically teach the use of a compound MRE3008F20 of the instant claims, for example.

Baraldi et al. teach MRE3008F20 is an adenosine A3 receptor antagonists (in current claims 7-8, 33 and 38; see page 4476 compound #7).

Although, the use of the term synergism is not explicitly used, Goodman and Gilman teach the combination therapy, using a drug with known other anticancer agents. See pages 1225 and 1230 with asterisks.

The motivation to combine arises from the expectation that the prior art elements will perform their expected functions to achieve their expected results when combined for their common known purpose. Section MPEP 2144.07

Applicant argues that the claimed invention was not obvious individually analyzing the references and that the Examiner's opinion that reduction in adenosine levels would be efficacious in the treatment of cancer is nowhere reported.

This is found nonpersuasive. Even, though Carson et al. do not teach synergism explicitly, combination therapy comprising an adenosine-5'-triphosphate- depleting agent to treat cancers that are multidrug resistance is taught. See col. 2, lines 37-40. Jacobson et al. teach adenosine A3 receptor antagonists are used in combination with other active agents, motivating one of ordinary skill in the art to use other known chemotherapeutic agents for the purpose of treating cancer. Combination therapy with new drugs and existing drugs is well known in the art as taught by Goodman and Gilman (see enclosed document especially pages1225 and 1230 with astericks).

Page 6

Further, to remedy the deficit drawn to the specific compound, Baraldi teaches MRE3008F20 is an adenosine A3 receptor antagonist, the specific A3 receptor antagonist claimed thus providing one of ordinary skill in the art motivation to combine the teachings of Jacobson. Applicants argue there is no suggestion to combine the references. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection is maintained as in the last office action of record. However, The motivation to combine can arise from the expectation that the prior art elements will perform their expected functions to achieve

Art Unit: 1614

their expected results when combined for their common known purpose. Section MPEP 2144.07

Page 7

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SVG 11/20/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER